

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)


CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO –44)

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 812 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.



FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

I hereby certify that this instrument is a true and correct copy of the original on file in my office. Attest: Sandy Opacich, Clerk
U.S. District Court, Northern District of Ohio
By: /s/Robert Pitts
Deputy Clerk



**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

SCHEDULE CTO-44 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
CALIFORNIA NORTHERN			
CAN	3	18-03806	County of Sonoma, California v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
FLORIDA MIDDLE			
FLM	8	18-01558	Pasco County, Florida v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
FLORIDA SOUTHERN			
FLS	1	18-22580	The City of Coral Gables v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
INDIANA SOUTHERN			
INS	1	18-01925	CITY OF FISHERS, INDIANA v. AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION et al
INS	1	18-01927	TOWN OF ZIONSVILLE, INDIANA v. AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION et al
KANSAS			
KS	6	18-01179	Harvey, County of v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
LOUISIANA EASTERN			
LAE	2	18-06343	Jefferson Parish, et al v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation, et al
LOUISIANA MIDDLE			
LAM	3	18-00658	Wiley v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al
MARYLAND			

MD	1	18-01913	Harford County, Maryland et al v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
MINNESOTA			
MN	0	18-01825	Minneapolis, Minnesota v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN			
MSS	3	18-00423	Neshoba County, Mississippi v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
MISSOURI WESTERN			
MOW	4	18-00450	Lafayette County, Missouri v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
MOW	4	18-00495	Cass County, Missouri v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
NORTH CAROLINA EASTERN			
NCE	7	18-00113	Columbus County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
RHODE ISLAND			
RI	1	18-00360	City of Providence, Rhode Island v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al